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PostgreSQL TRANSACTION
INTRODUCTION
MVCC (Multiple Version Concurrency Control)

- Multiple Version representation:
  - Version indication
  - Relationship between tuple and transaction

- The relationship between old version and new version
- Data struct & functions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cre</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>INSERT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>DELETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>UPDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>old (delete)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>new (insert)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Snapshot – filter to see the specific version

MVCC snapshots control which tuples are visible for specific statement in a transaction
### MVCC Snapshots Determine Row Visibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Create-Only</th>
<th>Cre 30 Exp</th>
<th>Visible</th>
<th>Sequential Scan</th>
<th>Create &amp; Expire</th>
<th>Cre 30 Exp</th>
<th>Invisible</th>
<th>Snapshot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cre 50 Exp</td>
<td>Invisible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cre 30 Exp</td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td></td>
<td>The highest-numbered committed transaction: 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cre 110 Exp</td>
<td>Invisible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Transactions: 25, 50, 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- For simplicity, assume all other transactions are committed.

Internally, the creation xid is stored in the system column 'xmin', and expire in 'xmax'.
Only transactions completed before transaction id 100 started are visible.
Data structure: HeapTupleHeaderData

TransactionId t_xmin; /* inserting xact ID */
union
  { CommandId t_cmin; /* inserting command ID */
    TransactionId t_xmax; /* deleting xact ID */
  } t_field2;
union
  { CommandId t_cmax; /* deleting command ID */
    TransactionId t_xVac; /* VACUUM FULL xact ID */
  } t_field3;
ItemPointerData t_c tid; /* current TID of this or newer tuple*/
int16 t_natts; /* number of attributes*/
uint16 t_infomask; /* various flag bits */
uint8 t_hoff; /* size of header incl bitmap, padding */
bit8 t_bits[1]; /* bitmap of NULLs -- VARIABLE LENGTH */

#define HEAP_HASNULL 0x0001 /* has null attribute(s) */
#define HEAP_HASVARWIDTH 0x0002 /* has variable-width attribute(s) */
#define HEAP_HASEXTERNAL 0x0004 /* has external stored attribute(s) */
#define HEAP_HASCOMPRESSED 0x0008 /* has compressed stored attribute(s) */
#define HEAP_HASEXTENDED 0x000C /* the two above combined */
#define HEAP_HASOID 0x0010 /* has an object-id field */
/* bit 0x0020 is presently unused */
#define HEAP_XMAX_IS_XMIN 0x0040 /* created and deleted in the same transaction*/
#define HEAP_XMAX_UNLOGGED 0x0080 /* to lock tuple for update without logging */
#define HEAP_XMIN_COMMMITTED 0x0100 /* t_xmin committed */
#define HEAP_XMIN_INVALID 0x0200 /* t_xmin invalid/aborted */
#define HEAP_XMAX_COMMMITTED 0x0400 /* t_xmax committed */
#define HEAP_XMAX_INVALID 0x0800 /* t_xmax invalid/aborted */
#define HEAP_MARKED_FOR_UPDATE 0x1000 /* marked for UPDATE*/
#define HEAP_UPDATED 0x2000 /* this is UPDATED version of row*/
#define HEAP_MOVED_OFF 0x4000 /* moved to another place by VACUUM FULL*/
#define HEAP_MOVED_IN 0x8000 /* moved from another place by VACUUM FULL*/
#define HEAP_MOVED hva(HEAP_MOVED_OFF, HEAP_MOVED_IN)
#define HEAP_XACT_MASK 0xFFC0 /* visibility-related bits */
SnapshotData结构体

TransactionId xmin;  /* XID < xmin are visible to me */
TransactionId xmax;  /* XID >= xmax are invisible to me */
uint32 xcnt;  /* # of xact ids in xip[] */
TransactionId *xip;  /* array of xact IDs in progress */
CommandId curcid;  /* in my xact, CID < curcid are visible */
ItemPointerData tid;  /* required for Dirty snapshot -:( */

xip数组：等级活动的事务号

xmin
Committed
Abortd
xmax
时间t
Isolation Level Processing

- Snapshot is fetched:
  - At the start of each SQL statement in READ COMMITTED transaction isolation level
  - At transaction start in SERIALIZABLE transaction isolation level.

- Different processing when concurrently update/delete one tuple:
HAWQ TRANSACTION DESIGN
HAWQ Characteristics

- SQL On HDFS
- HDFS doesn’t support UPDATE in place
- HAWQ Supported: Append Only (CREATE / INSERT/ TRUNCATE / SELECT/ NO UPDATE/ NO DELETE)
- HAWQ now only support one active master for catalog management
- Dispatch catalog info to segment if needed
- 2PC: no need
HAWQ Transaction Basic

- Combine MVCC with Append Only
- Most scenario: INSERT in batch in one transaction.
- Concurrency control at seg file level instead of tuple level
- Valid File Length maintained in MVCC catalog.

How INSERT works:
- HDFS with truncate: truncate invalid data appended by aborted transaction before next time appending data.
- HDFS without truncate: This segfile cannot be appended any more because of invalid data at the end of file. Use next segfile instead.
Valid file length of all seg files in one relation will maintained in one sys table:

- For AO tables in the systable: pg_aoseg.pg_aoseg_$TID
- For PARQUET tables in systable: pg_aoseg.pg_paqseg_$TID
INSERT Optimization

● INSERT parallel processing:
  ○ Lane model: one segfile only be handled by one executor process
  ○ Each INSERT query processing one groups of seg files

● Speedup transaction ending:
  ○ Dispatch the segfile creating/deleting tasking to segments for parallel processing

● Speedup Table dropping and selecting:
  ○ Change hdfs relation segfile path: now for one relation, all segfile put at one directory, instead of put all relations’ segfiles in one directory.

● Pain Point at large number of partitions

● ToDo
  ○ Only allocate one segfile for one physical segment node, instead of each segfile for one vseg.
  ○ Multiple supplier one consumer mode write
Performance Tuning
Performance Tuning

- Partition number too big lead to too many seg file (besides partition definition)
  1. Hash distributed table: affected by the bucket number (the default value is set by guc `default_hash_table_bucket_number`).
  2. Randomly Distributed and External Tables: affected by virtual segment number (the guc `hawq_rm_nvseg_perquery_perseg_limit` manages the number of vsegs per host; the guc `hawq_rm_nvseg_perquery_limit` set the cluster wide number of vsegs per query).

Best practice: set small value when INSERT and set big value when SELECT.

- Manually Trigger Checkpoint if any transaction related to too many segfiles committed.
- VACUUM FULL catalog if too many out-of-date tuples exists
Future Work
Catalog Bloating (Too many out-of-dated tuples)

• Example: gp_fastsequence up to 2G in customer’s env, The related index up to 9G (3.4G even after reindex it), it will slow down 15 minutes for some query (eg. Analyze).

• Why not VACUUM FULL? There are more than 100 queries, 10 Applications running at the same time, which always access gp_fastsequence, so the vacuum operation cannot get lock and proceed.

• HAWQ 2.0 removed gp_fastsequence because it is only used in the index of user data table.

• ToDo:
  -- What: Other catalogs (need more investigation)
  -- How:
    (1) Change MVCC to Update-in-place (like Persistent Tables)
    (2) Split into many small catalog (like pg_aoseg.pg_aoseg_$TID)
ToDo: UPDATE Supporting

• Update emulation in HAWQ
  – LSMT (Log Structured Merge Tree) vs. Multiple Version
  – Valid File length
  – Relationship between NEW and OLD version tuple (pointer? Bitmap?)
  – Bloom filter usage for skipping single version tuple
  – Sorted ItemPointer for delta tuples
  – Binary search for each delta block (Random Read)
  – Merge sorting for all delta blocks (Full Scan Read)
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Q & A
RECOVERY CONSIDERATION
PostgreSQL Recovery

- Take an e.g. Transaction T1 inserts lot of data
- System crashes before T1 can commit
- System comes back up again, needs recovery
- REDO (Do the same actions again), UNDO (Revert the effect of an action)
- Postgres supports only REDO. No UNDO is supported.
- In fact, does Postgres need UNDO?
  - Not Really! WHY?? (MVCC is the answer)
- What is the advantage and what is the downside?
  - Adv – Fast recovery (only REDO)
  - Downside – Unnecessary space wastage
Persistent Table Introduction: Derived from GPDB

- **Intent – Clean the INCOMPLETE work**

- Persistent Tables (PT) are ‘Database Object Life Managers’

- Database objects are either relations, databases, table spaces or filespaces

- Manage life of the same object both on the master & standby side

- PT are heap tables but do NOT follow MVCC rules. They do NOT follow transaction snapshotting rules. Newly added tuple becomes visible instantly.

- **Mapping: One ‘Persistent Table’ ⇔ Per database object type**

  gp_persistent_filespace_node gp_persistent_tablespace_node gp_persistent_database_node gp_persistent_relation_node gp_persistent_relfile_node
Persistent Table: Why Non-MVCC?

• Motivation - PT should act as a on-disk Hash Table that manages information about an object life

• Changes made to the Database (e.g. Relation File Creation) by an incomplete transaction should be still visible, so that they can be revoked

• If PT were MVCC compliant, for e.g. a transaction that created a table, inserted some data and never committed due to system crash would lead to never cleaning the created table

• This consume disk space (resources)
## Persistent Table: Struct & Storage

### Free Tuple in Persistent Tables –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>xmin</th>
<th>xmax</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Serial #</th>
<th>Previous Free TID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Serial #**
- Unique in PT, increasing order
- Called as `FreeOrder #` if tuple is free
- Next Serial #

**Previous Free TID**
- Points to previous free tuple
- 0,0 if the current tuple used
- First free tuple points to itself
Persistent Table: Status Transfer Diagram
HAWQ Recovery Stages:

- **Pass 1**
  - Recover ONLY Persistent Tables & Check their integrity
  - Integrity checks include FreeTID linked list correctness, FreeOrder sequence correctness etc

- **Pass 2**
  - Using the same redo xlog records as in Pass 1, create infrastructure to find which Database objects need to cleaned and which need to remain etc
  - E.g. Free a create pending state tuple and drop corresponding object

- **Pass 3**
  - Again, using the same redo xlog records recover only non-PT tables

- **Pass 4**
  - Some more integrity checks like gp_globalsequence correctness etc
HAWQ Recovery Speedup

- Skip segfile creating/deleting if the transaction is rollback finally.

- ToDo:
  - Persistent Table for relation file creation/deletion tracing is too heavy.
  - Can we just revert relation file creation/deletion operation for rollback transaction directly?