

# Comparing Struts 1 and 2

Feature	Struts 1	Struts 2
<b>Action classes</b>	Struts 1 requires Action classes to extend an abstract base class. A common problem in Struts 1 is programming to abstract classes instead of interfaces.	An Struts 2 Action <i>may</i> implement an <code>Action</code> interface, along with other interfaces to enable optional and custom services. Struts 2 provides a base <code>ActionSupport</code> class to implement commonly used interfaces. Albeit, the <code>Action</code> interface is <b>not</b> required. Any POJO object with a <code>execute</code> signature can be used as an Struts 2 Action object.
<b>Threading Model</b>	Struts 1 Actions are singletons and must be thread-safe since there will only be one instance of a class to handle all requests for that Action. The singleton strategy places restrictions on what can be done with Struts 1 Actions and requires extra care to develop. Action resources must be thread-safe or synchronized.	Struts 2 Action objects are instantiated for each request, so there are no thread-safety issues. (In practice, servlet containers generate many throw-away objects per request, and one more object does not impose a performance penalty or impact garbage collection.)
<b>Servlet Dependency</b>	Struts 1 Actions have dependencies on the servlet API since the <code>HttpServletRequest</code> and <code>HttpServletResponse</code> is passed to the <code>execute</code> method when an Action is invoked.	Struts 2 Actions are not coupled to a container. Most often the servlet contexts are represented as simple Maps, allowing Actions to be tested in isolation. Struts 2 Actions can still access the original request and response, if required. However, other architectural elements reduce or eliminate the need to access the <code>HttpServletRequest</code> or <code>HttpServletResponse</code> directly.
<b>Testability</b>	A major hurdle to testing Struts 1 Actions is that the <code>execute</code> method exposes the Servlet API. A third-party extension, <code>Struts TestCase</code> , offers a set of mock object for Struts 1.	Struts 2 Actions can be tested by instantiating the Action, setting properties, and invoking methods. Dependency Injection support also makes testing simpler.
<b>Harvesting Input</b>	Struts 1 uses an <code>ActionForm</code> object to capture input. Like Actions, all <code>ActionForms</code> must extend a base class. Since other JavaBeans cannot be used as <code>ActionForms</code> , developers often create redundant classes to capture input. <code>DynaBeans</code> can be used as an alternative to creating conventional <code>ActionForm</code> classes, but, here too, developers may be redescribing existing JavaBeans.	Struts 2 uses Action properties as input properties, eliminating the need for a second input object. Input properties may be rich object types which may have their own properties. The Action properties can be accessed from the web page via the taglibs. Struts 2 also supports the <code>ActionForm</code> pattern, as well as POJO form objects and POJO Actions. Rich object types, including business or domain objects, can be used as input/output objects. The <code>ModelDriven</code> feature simplifies taglib references to POJO input objects.
<b>Expression Language</b>	Struts 1 integrates with JSTL, so it uses the JSTL EL. The EL has basic object graph traversal, but relatively weak collection and indexed property support.	Struts 2 can use JSTL, but the framework also supports a more powerful and flexible expression language called "Object Graph Notation Language" (OGNL).
<b>Binding values into views</b>	Struts 1 uses the standard JSP mechanism for binding objects into the page context for access.	Struts 2 uses a "ValueStack" technology so that the taglibs can access values without coupling your view to the object type it is rendering. The <code>ValueStack</code> strategy allows reuse of views across a range of types which may have the same property name but different property types.
<b>Type Conversion</b>	Struts 1 <code>ActionForm</code> properties are usually all Strings. Struts 1 uses <code>Commons-Beanutils</code> for type conversion. Converters are per-class, and not configurable per instance.	Struts 2 uses OGNL for type conversion. The framework includes converters for basic and common object types and primitives.
<b>Validation</b>	Struts 1 supports manual validation via a <code>validate</code> method on the <code>ActionForm</code> , or through an extension to the <code>Commons Validator</code> . Classes can have different validation contexts for the same class, but cannot chain to validations on sub-objects.	Struts 2 supports manual validation via the <code>validate</code> method and the <code>XWork Validation</code> framework. The <code>Xwork Validation Framework</code> supports chaining validation into sub-properties using the validations defined for the properties class type and the validation context.
<b>Control Of Action Execution</b>	Struts 1 supports separate Request Processors (lifecycles) for each module, but all the Actions in the module must share the same lifecycle.	Struts 2 supports creating different lifecycles on a per Action basis via <code>Interceptor Stacks</code> . Custom stacks can be created and used with different Actions, as needed.

## See Also

- Matt Raible wrote (mid 2005) an interesting whitepaper where he compared various web frameworks. You can view the PDF here:
  - <https://equinox.dev.java.net/framework-comparison/WebFrameworks.pdf>
  - <http://www.virtuas.com/files/osl-jwf-01.pdf>

Next: [Migration Strategies](#)