

IoC Cookbook - Patterns

 [IoC Cookbook - Overriding IoC Services](#)

 [IoC cookbook](#)

[IoC cookbook - Service Configurations](#) 

Tapestry IoC has support for implementing several of the [Gang Of Four Design Patterns](#). In fact, the IoC container itself is a pumped up version of the Factory pattern.

The basis for these patterns is often the use of *service builder methods*, where a [configuration](#) for the service is combined with a factory to produce the service implementation on the fly.

Related Articles

- [PipelineBuilder Service](#)
- [StrategyBuilder Service](#)
- [IoC Cookbook - Patterns](#)
- [ChainBuilder Service](#)

Chain of Command Pattern

Main Article: [Chain of Command](#)

Let's look at another example, again from the Tapestry code base. The [InjectProvider](#) interface is used to process the `@Inject` annotation on the fields of a Tapestry page or component. Many different instances are combined together to form a *chain of command*.

The interface has only a single method (this is far from uncommon):

```
public interface InjectProvider
{
    boolean provideInjection(String fieldName, Class fieldType, ObjectLocator locator,
        ClassTransformation transformation, MutableComponentModel componentModel);
}
```

The return type indicates whether the provider was able to do something. For example, the `AssetInjectionProvider` checks to see if there's an `@Path` annotation on the field, and if so, converts the path to an asset, works with the `ClassTransformation` object to implement injection, and returns true to indicate success. Returning true terminates the chain early, and that true value is ultimately returned to the caller.

In other cases, it returns false and the chain of command continues down to the next provider. If no provider is capable of handling the injection, then the value false is ultimately returned.

The `InjectProvider` service is built up via contributions. These are the contributions from the `TapestryModule`:

```
public static void contributeInjectProvider(
    OrderedConfiguration<InjectProvider> configuration,
    MasterObjectProvider masterObjectProvider,
    ObjectLocator locator,
    SymbolSource symbolSource,
    AssetSource assetSource)
{
    configuration.add("Default", new DefaultInjectProvider(masterObjectProvider, locator));

    configuration.add("ComponentResources", new ComponentResourcesInjectProvider());

    configuration.add("CommonResources", new CommonResourcesInjectProvider(), "after:Default");

    configuration.add("Asset", new AssetInjectProvider(symbolSource, assetSource), "before:Default");

    configuration.add("Block", new BlockInjectProvider(), "before:Default");
    configuration.add("Service", new ServiceInjectProvider(locator), "after:*");
}
```

And, of course, other contributions could be made in other modules ... if you wanted to add in your own form of injection.

The configuration is converted into a service via a service builder method:

```
public InjectProvider build(List<InjectProvider> configuration, ChainBuilder chainBuilder)
{
    return chainBuilder.build(InjectProvider.class, configuration);
}
```

Now, let's see how this is used. The `InjectWorker` class looks for fields with the `InjectAnnotation`, and uses the chain of command to inject the appropriate value. However, to `InjectWorker`, there is no chain ... just a *single* object that implements the `InjectProvider` interface.

```

public class InjectWorker implements ComponentClassTransformWorker
{
    private final ObjectLocator locator;

    // Really, a chain of command

    private final InjectionProvider injectionProvider;

    public InjectWorker(ObjectLocator locator, InjectionProvider injectionProvider)
    {
        this.locator = locator;
        this.injectionProvider = injectionProvider;
    }

    public final void transform(ClassTransformation transformation, MutableComponentModel model)
    {
        for (String fieldName : transformation.findFieldsWithAnnotation(Inject.class))
        {
            Inject annotation = transformation.getFieldAnnotation(fieldName, Inject.class);

            try
            {
                String fieldType = transformation.getFieldType(fieldName);

                Class type = transformation.toClass(fieldType);

                boolean success = injectionProvider.provideInjection(
                    fieldName,
                    type,
                    locator,
                    transformation,
                    model);

                if (success) transformation.claimField(fieldName, annotation);
            }
            catch (RuntimeException ex)
            {
                throw new RuntimeException(ServicesMessages.fieldInjectionError(transformation
                    .getClassName(), fieldName, ex), ex);
            }
        }
    }
}

```

Reducing the chain to a single object vastly simplifies the code: we've *factored out* the loop implicit in the chain of command. That eliminates a lot of code, and that's less code to test, and fewer paths through `InjectWorker`, which lowers its complexity further. We don't have to test the cases where the list of injection providers is empty, or consists of only a single object, or where it's the third object in that returns true: it looks like a single object, it acts like a single object ... but its implementation uses many objects.