

# KIP-957: Support Async runtimes

- [Status](#)
- [Design goal](#)
- [Motivation](#)
- [Public Interfaces](#)
- [Proposed Changes](#)
  - [Implementation rules for sub classes that override acquire and release](#)
- [Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan](#)
- [Test Plan](#)
- [Rejected Alternatives](#)

## Status

**Current state:** Withdrawn, this should have been included in KIP-944.

**Discussion thread:** [here](#)

**JIRA:** [KAFKA-14972](#)

**Proposed implementation:** [pull request 14071](#)

Please keep the discussion on the mailing list rather than commenting on the wiki (wiki discussions get unwieldy fast).

## Design goal

The goal of this KIP is to allow consumer callbacks to call the consumer again from another thread.

## Motivation

Rebalances cause a lot of message duplication. This can be prevented by doing commits in the partition-revoked callback. This KIP will make it much easier to do work in that callback when an async runtime is used.

The JVM based `KafkaConsumer` contains a check that rejects nested invocations from different threads (in method `acquire`). For programs that use an async runtime, this is an almost impossible requirement. Also, the check is more strict than is required; we only need to validate that there is no concurrent access to the consumer.

Examples of affected async runtimes are Kotlin co-routines (see [KAFKA-7143](#)) and Zio.

Here follows a condensed example of how we'd like to use ZIO in the rebalance listener callback from the `zio-kafka` library.

### onRevoked callback

```
def onRevoked(revokedTopicPartitions: Set[TopicPartition], consumer: KafkaConsumer) = {
  for {
    _ <- ZIO.logDebug(s"${revokedTps.size} partitions are revoked")
    state <- currentStateRef.get
    streamsToEnd = state.assignedStreams.filter(control => revokedTps.contains(control.tp)) // Note, we run 1
    stream per partition.
    _ <- ZIO.foreachParDiscard(streamsToEnd)(_.end(consumer)) // <== Streams will commit not yet
    committed offsets
    _ <- awaitCommitsCompleted(consumer).timeout(15.seconds)
    _ <- ZIO.logTrace("onRevoked done")
  } yield ()
}
```

This code is run using the ZIO-runtime as follows from the `{{ConsumerRebalanceListener::onPartitionsRevoked}}` method:

### Running ZIO code from callback

```
def onPartitionsRevoked(partitions: java.util.Collection[TopicPartition]): Unit = {
  Unsafe.unsafe { implicit u =>
    runtime.unsafe
      .run(onRevoked(partitions.asScala.toSet, consumer))
      .getOrThrowFiberFailure()
  }
  ()
}
```

(Note that this code is complex on purpose, starting a ZIO workflow from scratch is not something you would normally do.)

Look at line 6 of the first code block. In method `end` the stream will try to call `consumer::commitAsync(offsets, callback)`. In `awaitCommitsCompleted()` (line 7) we call `consumer::commitSync(Collections.emptyMap)` to wait until all callbacks are invoked.

Since this code is running in the rebalance listener callback, `KafkaConsumer` enforces that the commit methods must be invoked from the same thread as the thread that invoked `onPartitionsRevoked`. Unfortunately, the ZIO runtime is inherently multi-threaded; tasks can be executed from any thread. There is no way Zio could support this limitation without a major rewrite.

## Public Interfaces

Two methods will change from private to protected: `org.apache.kafka.clients.consumer.KafkaConsumer::acquire` and in the same class method `::release`.

## Proposed Changes

See section 'public interfaces' above.

The change will allow custom sub-classes to implement `acquire` and `release` any way they like.

### Implementation rules for sub classes that override `acquire` and `release`

Methods `acquire` and `release` ensure that only 1 thread can invoke the consumer at a time. Similarly, they ensure that only 1 thread can invoke the consumer from code that is running in a consumer callback.

Methods `acquire` and `release` also need to make sure that memory writes from all threads involved are visible for each other.

When `acquire` and `release` are overridden, it is up to the implementation to uphold these requirements.

## Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan

For existing users nothing changes. There is no need to deprecate anything. No migration is needed.

## Test Plan

Since the change does not change behavior of the library, no additional tests are needed.

## Rejected Alternatives

See [KIP-944](#) for a viable alternative.